

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/607,602	06/27/2003	Heinz Michels	A03757	7613	
24131	7590 02/23/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
LERNER AND GREENBERG, PA			CRAWFORD, GENE O		
P O BOX 2480 HOLLYWOO	D, FL 33022-2480		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3651	•	
			DATE MAILED: 02/23/2005	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. 1				lv		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/607,602	MICHELS ET AL.			
· ;)	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	·	Gene O. Crawford	3651			
Perio	The MAILING DATE of this communication d for Reply	n appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence add	ress		
A T -	SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days of NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, mon. a reply within the statutory minimum period will apply and will expire SIX (6) statute, cause the application to beco	ray a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this con me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.		
Statu	s					
1	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	•	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the me						
- 4	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disp	osition of Claims					
5; 6; 7;	 Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-11 is/are with a claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-6,8,12 and 15-17 is/are rejected Claim(s) 7,13 and 14 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and claim(s) are subject to restriction are subject. 	drawn from consideration.	.~ t.			
Appl	cation Papers					
10	The specification is objected to by the Exact Dix The drawing(s) filed on 27 June 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the compact of the properties	re: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes to the drawing(s) be held in absorrection is required if the dra	peyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). wing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFI			
Prior	ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received ments have been received e priority documents have t dureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	. in Application No been received in this National S	Stage		
1) \(\text{\text{\text{2}}}\) \(\text{\text{2}}\) \(\text{\text{3}}\)	ment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/28/2003</u> .	18) Pape	view Summary (PTO-413) r No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application (PTO- r:	·152)		

Art Unit: 3651

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the 'sliding means 'and/or 'threaded shaft exhibiting two opposing threads so the deflecting elements may slide laterally' in claims 9 and 10 must be shown or the features canceled from the claims. No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/607,602 Page 3

Art Unit: 3651

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1-6, 8 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Holtje.

The device for stacking sheets disclosed by Holtje includes all the claimed features and in particular includes: (claim 1) at least one deflecting element 50 rotatably mounted above a sheet stack in the area of a leading edge and having deflecting fins 56; a controller for driving the deflecting element (column 4, lines 63-65); (claims 2, 3) the sheet limited in the transport direction by stop 40, 80 the stop exhibiting a radius in the area of the upper edge of the stack in which the fin is congruent; (claim 4) the deflecting fin having a side element 69, 70 for lowering an edge of the topmost sheet onto the stack; (claim 5) side element including a tab 32 for suppressing the dimensions of the topmost sheet (column 7, lines 65 thru column 8, lines 16); (claim 6) at least two side elements for providing a vertical stop for deflected sheet material (figure 3); and (claim 8) two deflecting elements arranged symmetrically to the centerline of transport movement (figure 3).

Application/Control Number: 10/607,602 Page 4

Art Unit: 3651

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Holtje in view of Ogasawara et al.

With regard to claim 15, Holtje includes all the claimed features but does not disclose a hold down element. However, Ogasawara et al. discloses the broad teaching of providing a hold-down element for guiding a next sheet onto a stack in a sheet stacking device. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the sheet stacking device of Holtje include a hold down element to facilitate guiding of a sheet being transferred to the top of a stack as taught by Ogasawara et al.

6. Claims 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Holtje in view of Ogasawara et al., and further in view of Masotta.

With regard to claims 16 and 17, Holtje in view of Ogasawara et al. include all the claimed features but do not disclose a measuring unit connected to a hold down means and stack adjusting element. However, Masotta discloses the broad teaching of providing a stack adjusting element/measuring unit 700 connected to a hold down means 716 as broadly claimed. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the hold means of Holtje in view of Ogasawara et al. include a stack

Application/Control Number: 10/607,602 Page 5

Art Unit: 3651

adjusting element/measuring unit 700 connected to a hold down means to facilitate maintaining the stack at a desired height as taught by Masotta.

Allowable Subject Matter

- Claims 7, 13 and 14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base 7. claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: a sheet stacking device including the unique features of (i) 'a side element formed with a recess in the area of the deflecting fins'; and (ii) 'at least one driving fin in combination with a deflecting fin' in combination with the rest of the claim language is not taught or fairly suggested by the prior art.

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following are cited to show the art with respect to sheet stacking devices having deflecting elements: Lynch, Vermaat, Emmrich, and Barthold.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gene O. Crawford whose telephone number is 703/305-9733. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday.

Application/Control Number: 10/607,602 Page 6

Art Unit: 3651

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Ellis can be reached on 703/308-1113. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gerde O. Crawford Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3651